

# Nikishin-Stein Theorem

Graduate Analysis Seminar  
 October 5, October 12, 2011  
 University of Wisconsin-Madison

In the following we shall consider only finite measure space  $(X, m)$ . Without loss of generality, we always assume  $m(X) = 1$ . All the spaces are over field  $\mathbb{K}$ , where  $\mathbb{K}$  can be  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $B$  be a Banach space. A function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow B$  is called simple if  $\varphi(X)$  is finite and for any  $y \in \varphi(X)$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(y)$  is measurable. A function  $f : X \rightarrow B$  is called (strongly) measurable if there exists a sequence of simple functions  $\varphi_k$  such that  $\varphi_k(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ .

Let  $Z := \{f : X \rightarrow B \text{ measurable, } \exists N, m(N) = 0, \text{ s.t. } f(x) = 0, \forall x \in X - N\}$ , define  $L_B^0(m) := \{f : X \rightarrow B \text{ measurable}\}/Z$ . When  $B = \mathbb{K}$ , we denote  $L_{\mathbb{K}}^0(m)$  by  $L^0(m)$ . Let  $f_k, f \in L_B^0(m)$ , we say that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  in measure if  $\|f(\cdot) - f_k(\cdot)\|_B \rightarrow 0$  in measure. There exists a unique metrizable topology on  $L_B^0(m)$  making  $L_B^0(m)$  into a topological vector space (TVS) s.t.  $f_k \rightarrow f$  if and only if  $f_k \rightarrow f$  in measure. Moreover,  $L_B^0(m)$  is complete w.r.t. this topology, i.e.  $L_B^0(m)$  is a  $F$ -space. However,  $L_B^0(m)$  is not locally convex.

A function  $f = (f_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called componentwise measurable if  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is measurable. Let  $Z := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ componentwise measurable, } \exists N, m(N) = 0, \text{ s.t. } f(x) = 0, \forall x \in X - N\}$ ,  $L^0(m, \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ componentwise measurable}\}/Z$ ,

$$L^0(m, l^{\infty}) := \{f = (f_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \in L^0(m, \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}), \|f(x)\|_{l^{\infty}} = \sup_i |f_i(x)| < \infty, a.e.\}$$

Let  $f_k, f \in L^0(m, l^{\infty})$ , we say that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  in measure if  $\|f(\cdot) - f_k(\cdot)\|_{l^{\infty}} \rightarrow 0$  in measure. There exists a unique metrizable topology on  $L^0(m, l^{\infty})$  making  $L^0(m, l^{\infty})$  into a TVS s.t.  $f_k \rightarrow f$  if and only if  $f_k \rightarrow f$  in measure. Moreover,  $L^0(m, l^{\infty})$  is complete w.r.t. this topology. Note that  $L_{l^{\infty}}^0(m) \subset L^0(m, l^{\infty})$  and the subspace topology coincides the topology defined above. However, in general  $L_{l^{\infty}}^0(m) \neq L^0(m, l^{\infty})$ .

A set  $A$  is bounded in the TVS  $L_B^0(m)$  (or  $L^0(m, l^{\infty})$ ) if and only if there exists a function  $C(\cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C(\lambda) = 0$ , s.t.

$$m(\{x \in X : \|f(x)\|_B > \lambda\}) \leq C(\lambda), \forall f \in A$$

Let  $V$  be a vector space. An operator  $T : V \rightarrow L^0(m)$  is called sublinear if

- i)  $T(f) \geq 0, \forall f \in V$
- ii)  $T(\lambda f) = |\lambda|T(f), \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, f \in V$
- iii)  $T(f + g) \leq T(f) + T(g), \forall f, g \in V$

Note that linear does not imply sublinear according to our definition. Our definition here is just for convenience.

An operator  $T : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is called positive homogeneous if  $T(\alpha f) = \alpha T(f), \forall \alpha > 0, f \in V_1$

Let  $(Y, \mu)$  be a measure space,  $V$  be a subspace of  $L^0(Y, \mu)$ . An operator  $T : V \rightarrow L^0(m)$  is called positive if  $|f| \leq g$  implies  $|Tf| \leq |Tg|$ .

Let  $V_1, V_2$  be two TVSs, an operator  $T : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is called bounded if  $T$  maps every bounded set to a bounded set. If  $V_1$  is metrizable and  $T$  is linear, then  $T$  is continuous if and only if  $T$  is bounded.

Let  $Q$  be linear space,  $\|\cdot\| : Q \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a quasi-norm if

i)  $\|x\| = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$

ii)  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, x \in Q$

iii)  $\exists$  a constant  $K \geq 1$ , s.t.  $\|x + y\| \leq K(\|x\| + \|y\|), \forall x, y \in Q$

Let  $(Q, \|\cdot\|)$  be a quasi-normed space, then there exists a unique metrizable topology on  $Q$  making  $Q$  into a TVS such that  $x_k \rightarrow x$  if and only if  $\|x - x_k\| \rightarrow 0$ . Under this topology,  $\{x : \|x\| < 1/n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots$  form a topological basis of  $Q$ ; a subset  $E \subset Q$  is bounded if and only if  $\|E\| = \{\|x\|, x \in E\}$  is bounded. Note that in general quasi-norm is not continuous, i.e.  $\|x - x_k\| \rightarrow 0$  may not imply  $\|x_k\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ . If  $Q$  is complete in this topology, we call  $(Q, \|\cdot\|)$  a quasi-Banach space. Let  $Q_1, Q_2$  be two quasi-normed spaces, then a positive homogeneous operator  $T : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$  is bounded if and only if there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $\|Tf\|_{Q_2} \leq C\|f\|_{Q_1}, \forall f \in Q_1$ .

Let  $Q$  be a quasi-normed space,  $B$  be a Banach space, then a positive homogeneous operator  $T : Q \rightarrow L_B^0(m)$  (or  $L^0(m, l^\infty)$ ) is bounded if and only if there exists a function  $C(\cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty), \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C(\lambda) = 0$ , s.t.

$$m(x \in X : \|Tf(x)\|_B > \lambda) \leq C(\lambda), \forall \|f\|_Q \leq 1$$

If  $T : Q \rightarrow L^0(m)$  is sublinear, then  $T$  is bounded if and only if  $T$  is continuous.

A bonus for the functional analytic argument above is the following, which is immediate by applying closed graph theorem.

**Theorem I (Banach Continuity Principle)** : Let  $Q$  be a quasi-Banach space,  $T_i : Q \rightarrow L^0(m), i \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of bounded linear operators. Let  $\mathbf{T} : Q \rightarrow L^0(m, \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}), \mathbf{T}f := (T_i f)_{i=0}^\infty$ . If  $\mathbf{T}(Q) \subset L^0(m, l^\infty)$ , i.e.

$$T^* f(x) := \|\mathbf{T}f(x)\|_{l^\infty} = \sup_i |T_i f(x)| < \infty \text{ a.e.}$$

then  $\mathbf{T} : Q \rightarrow L^0(m, l^\infty)$  is bounded, i.e.  $\exists C(\cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty), \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C(\lambda) = 0$ , s.t.

$$m(x \in X : T^* f(x) > \lambda) \leq C(\lambda), \forall \|f\|_Q \leq 1$$

Now we develop a little more language. Let  $0 < p < \infty$ , define

$$L^p(m) := \{f \in L^0(m), \|f\|_p = (\int_X |f|^p dm)^{1/p} < \infty\}$$

then  $(L^p(m), \|\cdot\|_p)$  becomes a quasi-Banach space with quasi-norm constant  $1 \vee (2^{\frac{1}{p}-1})$ . Note that  $L^p(m)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) is in general not locally convex. Define

$$L^{p,\infty}(m) := \{f \in L^0(m), \|f\|_{p,\infty} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda m(|f| > \lambda)^{1/p} < \infty\}$$

then  $L^{p,\infty}(m)$  becomes a quasi-Banach space satisfying with quasi-norm constant  $2 \vee 2^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Let  $w \in L^0(m), w > 0$ , then  $w$  induces a measure  $wm$  on  $X$  with  $(wm)(A) := \int_A w dm$ . Since  $w > 0$ , we have  $L^0(wm) = L^0(m)$ . Similarly we have quasi-Banach spaces  $L^p(wm)$  and  $L^{p,\infty}(wm)$  for  $0 < p < \infty$ , which are all contained in  $L^0(m)$ .

Let  $Q$  be a quasi-Banach space with quasi-norm constant  $K$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ , define

$$l^p(Q) := \{q = (q_i)_{i=0}^\infty, q_i \in Q, \|q\|_{l^p(Q)} = (\sum_{i=0}^\infty \|q_i\|_Q^p)^{1/p} < \infty\}$$

then  $l^p(Q)$  is a quasi-Banach space with quasi-norm constant  $[1 \vee (2^{\frac{1}{p}-1})]K$ . Let  $T : Q \rightarrow L^0(m)$  be an operator, denote

$$\mathbf{T} : l^p(Q) \rightarrow L^0(m, \mathbb{K}^\mathbb{N}), (f_i)_{i=0}^\infty \mapsto (Tf_i)_{i=0}^\infty$$

Denote by  $l_0^p(Q) := \{q = (q_i)_{i=0}^\infty \in l^p(Q), \exists N, \text{ s.t. } q_i = 0, \forall i \geq N\}$  which is dense in  $l^p(Q)$ .

For any measurable  $E \subset X$ , we have a measure space  $(E, m|_E)$  and the restriction operator  $R_E : L^0(m) \rightarrow L^0(E, m|_E), f \mapsto f|_E$ .

Let  $Q_0$  be the quasi-Banach space  $L^q(m)$  or  $L^{q,\infty}(m)$  with  $0 < q < \infty, 0 < g \in L^0(m)$ , then the map  $M_g : Q_0 \rightarrow L^0(m), f \mapsto gf$  is continuous. Let  $Q$  be a another quasi-Banach space,  $T_0 : Q \rightarrow Q_0$  be continuous, then  $T = M_g T_0 : Q \rightarrow L^0(m)$  is continuous. In this case we say that  $T$  factors through  $Q_0$ . Notice that  $T$  factors through  $Q_0$  if and only if there exists  $g \in L^0(m), g > 0$  s.t.  $M_g T : Q \rightarrow Q_0$  continuous. We can make it fancier by saying that  $T$  is conformal to a continuous map from  $Q$  to  $Q_0$ .

The aim of this note is to prove the converse, i.e. roughly, every continuous map from  $Q$  to  $L^0(m)$  is conformal to a continuous map from  $Q$  to some  $Q_0$ . For this we need some criteria.

**Theorem II (Equivalence of Boundedness)** : Let  $Q$  be a quasi-normed space, and let  $T : Q \rightarrow L^0(m)$  be a positive homogeneous operator,  $0 < q < \infty$ , then the following are equivalent:

- a) (conformal boundedness)  $gT : Q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}(m)$  and is bounded, for some  $0 < g \in L^0(m)$
- b) (weighted boundedness)  $T : Q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}(wm)$  and is bounded, for some  $0 < w \in L^0(m)$
- c) (almost boundedness) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $E_\epsilon \subset X$  with  $m(X - E_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ , such that  $R_{E_\epsilon} T : Q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}(E_\epsilon, m|_{E_\epsilon})$  and is bounded
- d) (vector-valued boundedness)  $\mathbf{T} : l^q(Q) \rightarrow L^0(m, l^\infty)$  and is bounded

*Proof.* c)  $\Rightarrow$  b): Let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $E_n$  be the corresponding subset with  $m(X - E_n) < \frac{1}{n}$  and  $C_n > 0$ , such that

$$m\{x \in E_n : |T(f)| > \lambda\} \leq \frac{C_n^q}{\lambda^q} \|f\|_Q^q, \forall \lambda > 0, f \in Q$$

We may assume  $E_n \subset E_{n+1}$  (otherwise take the union). Now define ( $E_0 := \emptyset$ )

$$w := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n C_n^q} \chi_{E_n - E_{n-1}}$$

Since  $m(X - \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = 0$ ,  $w > 0$  a.e. Now  $\forall \lambda > 0$ ,  $f \in Q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|Tf|>\lambda\}} w dm &= \int_{(E_n - E_{n-1}) \cap \{|Tf|>\lambda\}} w dm \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n C_n^q} m\{x \in E_n : |T(f)(x)| > \lambda\} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n C_n^q} \frac{C_n^q}{\lambda^q} \|f\|_Q^q \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^q} \|f\|_Q^q \end{aligned}$$

This shows  $T : Q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}(wm)$  and is bounded.

b)  $\Rightarrow$  a): Assume  $T : Q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}(wm)$  and is bounded, i.e.  $\exists C > 0$ , such that

$$\int_{\{|Tf|>\lambda\}} w dm \leq \frac{C^q}{\lambda^q} \|f\|_Q^q, \forall \lambda > 0, f \in Q$$

We may assume  $w \leq 1$  (otherwise let  $w = w \wedge 1$ ). For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= \{x \in X : \frac{1}{n+1} < w(x) \leq \frac{1}{n}\} \\ g &= \frac{1}{2^n} \chi_{E_n} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $g > 0$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} m\{g|Tf| > \lambda\} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m\{x \in E_n : |T(f)(x)| > 2^n \lambda\} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n \cap \{|Tf|>2^n \lambda\}} (n+1) w dm \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) \int_{\{|Tf|>2^n \lambda\}} w dm \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) \frac{C^q}{2^{nq} \lambda^q} \|f\|_Q^q \\ &= C_q \frac{C^q}{\lambda^q} \|f\|_Q^q \end{aligned}$$

This shows  $gT : Q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}(m)$  and is bounded.

a)  $\Rightarrow$  d): We show that there exists  $C(\cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C(\lambda) = 0$ , such that

$$m\{x \in X : \sup_i |T(f_i)(x)| > \lambda\} \leq C(\lambda), \forall (f_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in l^q(Q), \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|f_i\|_Q^q \leq 1$$

Assume  $g > 0$ ,  $gT : Q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}(m)$  is bounded with constant  $C > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & m\{x \in X : \sup_i |T(f_i)(x)| > \lambda\} \\ &= m\{x \in X : \sup_i |g(x)T(f_i)(x)| > g(x)\lambda\} \\ &\leq m\{x : g(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/2}\} \cap \{x \in X : \sup_i |g(x)T(f_i)(x)| > g(x)\lambda\} \\ &\quad + m\{x : g(x) > \lambda^{-1/2}\} \cap \{x \in X : \sup_i |g(x)T(f_i)(x)| > g(x)\lambda\} \\ &\leq m\{x : g(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/2}\} + m\{x \in X : \sup_i |g(x)T(f_i)(x)| > \lambda^{1/2}\} \\ &\leq m\{x : g(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/2}\} + m(\cup_{i=1}^\infty \{x \in X : |g(x)T(f_i)(x)| > \lambda^{1/2}\}) \\ &\leq m\{x : g(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/2}\} + \sum_{i=1}^\infty m\{x \in X : |g(x)T(f_i)(x)| > \lambda^{1/2}\} \\ &\leq m\{x : g(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/2}\} + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{C^q}{\lambda^{q/2}} \|f_i\|_Q^q \\ &\leq m\{x : g(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/2}\} + \frac{C^q}{\lambda^{q/2}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $C(\lambda) := m\{x : g(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/2}\} + \frac{C^q}{\lambda^{q/2}}$  satisfies the properties we want.

d)  $\Rightarrow$  c): Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , we are going to find an  $E_\epsilon$  as in c). Let  $C(\cdot)$  be the function in d) (see the proof above), let  $\Lambda > 0$  such that  $C(\Lambda) < \epsilon$ . Consider  $F \subset X$  satisfying the following:

$$m(F) > 0 \text{ and } \exists f \in Q, \|f\|_Q \leq 1, \text{ s.t. } |T(f)(x)| > \frac{\Lambda}{m(F)^{1/p}}, \text{ a.e. } x \in F$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{F \subset X : F \text{ satisfies the above property}\}$  (we may assume  $\mathcal{F}_0 \neq \emptyset$ , similarly assume  $\mathcal{F}_i \neq \emptyset$  in the following). Choose  $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_0$  such that

$$m(F_1) > \frac{1}{2} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_0} m(F)$$

Denote by  $f_1$  the associated element in  $Q$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_0 : F \cap F_1 = \emptyset\}$ . Choose  $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , such that

$$m(F_2) > \frac{1}{2} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_1} m(F)$$

Denote by  $f_2$  the associated element in  $Q$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_0 : F \cap F_1 = F \cap F_2 = \emptyset\}$ , etc.

Since the  $F_i$ 's are disjoint,  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty m(F_i) \leq 1$ . In particular,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m(F_i) = 0$ . Thus by the construction there is no  $F \in \mathcal{F}_0$  such that  $F$  does not intersect any  $F_i$ . Now let

$$E^c = \cup_{i=1}^\infty F_i$$

We claim that  $\forall f \in Q, \|f\|_Q \leq 1, \lambda > 0$ , we have

$$m\{x \in E : |T(f)(x)| > \lambda\} \leq \frac{\Lambda^q}{\lambda^q}$$

And hence  $R_E T : Q \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}(E, m|_E)$  and is bounded. In fact, assume

$$m\{x \in E : |T(f)(x)| > \lambda\} > \frac{\Lambda^q}{\lambda^q}$$

for some  $\lambda > 0, \|f\|_Q \leq 1$ , then  $F := \{x \in E : |T(f)(x)| > \lambda\} \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . But  $F$  does not intersect any  $F_i$ , contradiction.

It remains to show that  $m(E^c) < \epsilon$ . Let  $c_i = m(F_i)^{1/q}$ , then

$$\sup_i |T(c_i f_i)(x)| > \Lambda \text{ a.e. } x \in E^c$$

Moreover,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|c_i f_i\|_Q^q \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(F_i) \leq 1$ . Thus

$$m(E^c) \leq m\{x \in X : \sup_i |T(c_i f_i)(x)| > \Lambda\} \leq C(\Lambda) < \epsilon. \quad \square$$

We will see later that when  $T$  is positive, d) can be easily verified. In the general case, in order to verify d) we will use the randomization trick.

The randomization trick is very useful in proving vector-valued (more precisely  $l^p$ -valued) inequalities. The idea is to express the  $l^p$  norm (which is essentially a sum of norms) by the norm of a random series (which is the norm of a sum), and hence we can make use of the linearity of the operator (or ‘‘almost independence’’ of the operators).

Let  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space. A sequence of random variables  $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  is called a Rademacher sequence if

- i) The  $\epsilon_i$ 's are independent
- ii)  $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  with  $\mathbb{P}(\epsilon_i = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\epsilon_i = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$

Let  $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be a Rademacher sequence, then  $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  forms an orthonormal system in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , thus we have an isometric embedding

$$l^2 \rightarrow L^2(\Omega), \alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \mapsto \epsilon \cdot \alpha := \sum_i \epsilon_i \alpha_i$$

We call  $\epsilon \cdot \alpha$  a Rademacher series (by Kolmogorov's maximal inequality, it also converges almost surely). What is surprising is that  $\epsilon \cdot \alpha$  actually lies in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for all  $0 < p < \infty$  (in fact  $|\epsilon \cdot \alpha|$  is exponentially integrable), and  $\|\epsilon \cdot \alpha\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \approx \|\alpha\|_{l^2}$  with the implicit constants depending only on  $p$ , that is,

**Theorem III (Khinchin's inequality, 1923)** : For all  $0 < p < \infty$ , there exist constants  $A_p, B_p > 0$ , such that

$$A_p \|\alpha\|_{l^2} \leq \|\epsilon \cdot \alpha\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq B_p \|\alpha\|_{l^2}, \forall \alpha \in l^2$$

Notice that  $\|\epsilon \cdot \alpha\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \mathbb{E} [|\sum_i \epsilon_i \alpha_i|^p]^{1/p}$  and  $\|\alpha\|_{l^2} = [\sum_i |\alpha_i|^2]^{1/2}$ , Khinchin's inequality reveals that, although samplewise  $|\sum_i \epsilon_i \alpha_i|$  and  $[\sum_i |\alpha_i|^2]^{1/2}$  are not comparable since sometimes the random signs may cause significant cancellation or blowup, on average they are indeed comparable. From a practical point of view, Khinchin's inequality helps us get rid of (or conversely, obtain) the termwise absolute value signs by randomizing the the sign of  $\alpha_i$ .

Remarks:

1) Khinchin's inequality is a special case of Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequality (1937) for sum of independent random variables, and more generally, Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality (1973) for martingales.

2) The best constants in the Khinchin's inequality are obtained in U. Haagerup, *The best constants in the Khintchine inequality*, Studia Math, 1981.

3) A Rademacher series of the projection operators associated with an unconditional basis can be uniformly bounded, see, for example, D. Burkholder, *Boundary value problems and sharp inequalities for martingale transforms*, Ann. Prob., 1984.

4) For more introduction to random series, see, for example, J.-P. Kahane, *Some random series of functions*.

When  $0 < p < q < \infty$ ,

$$l^p \subset l^q, L^q(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$$

So there exist constants  $C_p, D_p > 0$ , such that

$$\|\epsilon \cdot \alpha\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_p \|\alpha\|_{l^p}, \forall \alpha \in l^p, 0 < p \leq 2$$

$$\|\alpha\|_{l^p} \leq D_p \|\epsilon \cdot \alpha\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \forall \alpha \in l^2, 2 \leq p < \infty$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\omega : \|\alpha\|_{l^\infty} \leq |\epsilon \cdot \alpha|) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \forall \alpha \in l^2$$

If we consider a Rademacher series of functions instead of scalars, then by Khinchin's inequality we obtain the following:

**Theorem IV** : Let  $0 < p < \infty, q = p \wedge 2$ ,  $(Y, \nu)$  be an arbitrary measure space, then there exists a constant  $E_p > 0$ , such that

$$\left\| \|\epsilon \cdot f\|_{L^p(\nu)} \right\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq E_p \|f\|_{l^q(L^p(\nu))}, \forall f \in l_0^q(L^p(\nu))$$

*Proof.* If  $p \leq 2$ , then  $q = p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{LHS}^p &= \int_{\Omega} \int_Y \left| \sum_i \epsilon_i(\omega) f_i(y) \right|^p \nu(dy) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\
&= \int_Y \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_i \epsilon_i(\omega) f_i(y) \right|^p \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \nu(dy) \\
&\leq \int_Y C_p^p \sum_i |f_i(y)|^p \nu(dy) \\
&\leq C_p^p \sum_i \int_Y |f_i(y)|^p \nu(dy) \\
&= C_p^p \text{RHS}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $p \geq 2$ , then  $q = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{LHS}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_Y \left| \sum_i \epsilon_i(\omega) f_i(y) \right|^p \nu(dy) \right]^{2/p} \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\
&\leq \left[ \int_{\Omega} \int_Y \left| \sum_i \epsilon_i(\omega) f_i(y) \right|^p \nu(dy) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \right]^{2/p} \\
&\leq \left[ \int_Y \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_i \epsilon_i(\omega) f_i(y) \right|^p \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \nu(dy) \right]^{2/p} \\
&\leq \left[ \int_Y B_p^p \left( \sum_i |f_i(y)|^2 \right)^{p/2} \nu(dy) \right]^{2/p} \\
&= B_p^2 \left[ \int_Y \left( \sum_i |f_i(y)|^2 \right)^{p/2} \nu(dy) \right]^{2/p} \\
&\leq B_p^2 \sum_i \left[ \int_Y |f_i(x)|^p \nu(dy) \right]^{2/p} \\
&= B_p^2 \text{RHS}^2. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can verify d) in Theorem II to obtain the following:

**Theorem V (Nikishin's Factorization Theorem, 1970)** : Let  $(Y, \nu)$  be an arbitrary measure space,  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $T : L^p(Y, \nu) \rightarrow L^0(m)$  be a continuous linear or sublinear operator, then there exists  $0 < w \in L^0(m)$ , such that

$$T : L^p(Y, \nu) \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}(wm)$$

and is bounded, where  $q = p \wedge 2$ . Moreover, if  $T$  is positive, then we can take  $q = p$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem II, it suffices to show that  $\exists C(\cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} C(\lambda) = 0$ , such that

$$m\{x \in X : \sup_i |T(f_i)(x)| > \lambda\} \leq C(\lambda), \forall (f_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in l_0^q(L^p(\nu)), \sum_i \|f_i\|_{L^p(\nu)}^q \leq 1$$



Note that we change  $l^p$  into  $l_0^p$  here, which can be justified easily using limiting argument.

Since  $T : L^p(Y, \mu) \rightarrow L^0(m)$  is bounded, there exists  $c(\cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} c(\lambda) = 0$ , such that

$$m\{x \in X : |T(f)(x)| > \lambda\} \leq c(\lambda), \forall f \in L^p(\nu), \|f\|_{L^p(\nu)} \leq 1$$

If  $T$  is positive, let  $q = p$ . Define  $F := (\sum_i |f_i|^p)^{1/p}$ , then  $\|F\|_{L^p(\nu)} \leq 1$  and  $|f_i| \leq F, \forall i$ . Since  $T$  is positive,  $|Tf_i| \leq |TF|, \forall i$ . Thus

$$m\{x \in X : \sup_i |T(f_i)(x)| > \lambda\} \leq m\{x \in X : |T(F)(x)| > \lambda\} \leq c(\lambda)$$

In the general case, let  $q = p \wedge 2$ . Let  $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a Rademacher sequence. Fix  $\lambda > 0$  and  $i$ , let  $\epsilon'_j = (-1)^{\delta_{ij}} \epsilon_j$ , then a.e.  $x$ , we have for all  $\omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |T(f_i)(x)| &= |T(\epsilon_i(\omega)f_i)(x)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|T(2\epsilon_i(\omega)f_i)(x)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|T(\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega)f_j - \sum_j \epsilon'_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}|T(\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| + \frac{1}{2}|T(\sum_j \epsilon'_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| \end{aligned}$$

If  $|T(f_i)(x)| > \lambda$ , then  $\Omega = \{\omega : |T(\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| > \lambda\} \cup \{\omega : |T(\sum_j \epsilon'_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| > \lambda\}$ . Hence

$$1 \leq \mathbb{P}\{\omega : |T(\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| > \lambda\} + \mathbb{P}\{\omega : |T(\sum_j \epsilon'_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| > \lambda\}$$

Since

$$\mathbb{P}\{\omega : |T(\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| > \lambda\} = \mathbb{P}\{\omega : |T(\sum_j \epsilon'_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| > \lambda\}$$

We get

$$\mathbb{P}\{\omega : |T(\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| > \lambda\} \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

Hence for a.e.  $x$ ,

$$\chi_{\{x:|T(f_i)(x)|>\lambda\}} \leq 2\mathbb{P}\{\omega : |T(\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| > \lambda\}$$

This holds for every  $i$ , so for a.e.  $x$ ,

$$\chi_{\{x:\sup_i |T(f_i)(x)|>\lambda\}} \leq 2\mathbb{P}\{\omega : |T(\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega)f_j)(x)| > \lambda\}$$

Integrating over  $x$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
m\{x : \sup_i |T(f_i)(x)| > \lambda\} &\leq 2 \int_X \int_\Omega \chi_{\{|T(\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega) f_j)(x)| > \lambda\}}(\omega, x) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) m(dx) \\
&= 2 \int_\Omega m\{x : |T(\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega) f_j)(x)| > \lambda\} \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{P}\{\omega : \|\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega) f_j\|_{L^p(\nu)} > \lambda^{1/2}\} \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\{\|\sum_j \epsilon_j f_j\|_{L^p(\nu)} \leq \lambda^{1/2}\}} m\{x : |T(\frac{\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega) f_j}{\lambda^{1/2}})(x)| > \lambda^{1/2}\} \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\lambda^{q/2}} \int_\Omega \|\sum_j \epsilon_j(\omega) f_j\|_{L^p(\nu)}^q \mathbb{P}(d\omega) + 2c(\lambda^{1/2}) \\
&\leq \frac{2E_p^q}{\lambda^{q/2}} \sum_j \|f_j\|_{L^p(\nu)}^q + 2c(\lambda^{1/2}) \\
&\leq \frac{2E_p^q}{\lambda^{q/2}} + 2c(\lambda^{1/2}). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

By averaging the conformality, we can now obtain Stein's maximal principle in the case of compact groups.

Let  $(G, \tau)$  be a topological group.  $G$  is called a compact group if  $G$  is compact and Hausdorff with respect to  $\tau$ . In the following we always assume that  $G$  is a compact group.

A finite Borel measure  $m$  on  $G$  is called a Radon measure if  $m(A) = \inf_{U \supset A} m(U)$  for all Borel set  $A$ , where  $U$  is taken over all the open sets containing  $A$ . A nonzero Radon measure  $m$  on  $G$  is called a left Haar measure if  $m(xA) = m(A), \forall A, x \in G$ . If a left Haar measure is also right invariant, we call it a Haar measure. Left Haar measure always exists and is unique up to a positive multiple. In the following we assume  $m(G) = 1$ .

Note that the outer regularity assumption here automatically implies inner regularity, and when the topology of  $G$  is second countable, any finite Borel measure is automatically a Radon measure. Note also that by uniqueness, any left Haar measure is automatically a Haar measure.

Let  $x \in G$ ,  $x$  acts on  $L^0(m)$  by  $xf(\cdot) := f(x^{-1}\cdot)$ . A subspace  $V$  of  $L^0(m)$  is called translation invariant if  $V$  is invariant under the action of  $G$ . Let  $V$  be translation invariant,  $T : V \rightarrow L^0(m)$  is called translation invariant if  $T$  commutes with the action of  $G$ . Note that  $L^p(m)$  and  $L^{p,\infty}(m)$  are translation invariant, for all  $0 < p < \infty$ .

**Theorem VI (Stein's Maximal Principle, 1961)** : Let  $G$  be a second countable compact group with Haar measure  $m$ , let  $0 < p < \infty, T : L^p(m) \rightarrow L^0(m)$  be a continuous, linear (or sublinear), translation invariant operator, then

$$T : L^p(m) \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}(m)$$

and is bounded, where  $q = p \wedge 2$ . Moreover, when  $T$  is positive we can take  $q = p$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem V, there exists  $w > 0$  such that  $T : L^p(m) \rightarrow L^{q,\infty}(wm)$  and is bounded, i.e., there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , such that

$$\int_{\{|T(f)(\cdot)| > \lambda\}} w(x)m(dx) \leq \frac{C^q}{\lambda^q} \|f\|_p^q, \forall \lambda > 0, f \in L^p(m)$$

Now fix any  $y \in G$ . We show that  $w(y\cdot)$  provides the same estimate. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|T(f)(\cdot)| > \lambda\}} w(yx)m(dx) &= \int_G \chi_{\{|T(f)(\cdot)| > \lambda\}}(x)w(yx)m(dx) \\ &= \int_G \chi_{\{|T(f)(\cdot)| > \lambda\}}(y^{-1}x)w(x)m(dx) \\ &= \int_G \chi_{\{|T(f)(y^{-1}\cdot)| > \lambda\}}(x)w(x)m(dx) \\ &= \int_G \chi_{\{|T(yf)(\cdot)| > \lambda\}}(x)w(x)m(dx) \\ &\leq \frac{C^q}{\lambda^q} \|yf\|_p^q = \frac{C^q}{\lambda^q} \|f\|_p^q \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over  $y$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \int_G \chi_{\{|T(f)(\cdot)| > \lambda\}}(x)w(yx)m(dx)m(dy) &= \int_G \chi_{\{|T(f)(\cdot)| > \lambda\}}(x) \left( \int_G w(yx)m(dy) \right) m(dx) \\ &= \int_G \chi_{\{|T(f)(\cdot)| > \lambda\}}(x) \left( \int_G w(y)m(dy) \right) m(dx) \\ &= a \int_G \chi_{\{|T(f)(\cdot)| > \lambda\}}(x)m(dx) \\ &\leq \frac{C^q}{\lambda^q} \|f\|_p^q \end{aligned}$$

Here  $a = \int_G w(y)m(dy) > 0$ . The last inequality shows

$$m\{|T(f)(\cdot)| > \lambda\} \leq \frac{C^q}{a\lambda^q} \|f\|_p^q. \quad \square$$

Remark: Here we assume that  $G$  is second countable in order to guarantee the change of order of integration. In fact it is still legitimate to do so in the general case, see, for example, Donald Cohn, *Measure Theory* (Theorem 7.6.7).

Reference: José García-Cuerva and J.-L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Volume 116, 1985.